

Low Energy Asymptotics of the Spectral Shift Function for Pauli Operators with Nonconstant Magnetic Fields

GEORGI D. RAIKOV*

Abstract

We consider the 3D Pauli operator with nonconstant magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ of constant direction, perturbed by a symmetric matrix-valued electric potential V whose coefficients decay fast enough at infinity. We investigate the low-energy asymptotics of the corresponding spectral shift function. As a corollary, for generic negative V , we obtain a generalized Levinson formula, relating the low-energy asymptotics of the eigenvalue counting function and of the scattering phase of the perturbed operator.

1 Introduction

Suppose that the magnetic field $\mathbf{B} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ has a constant direction, say,

$$\mathbf{B} = (0, 0, b). \quad (1.1)$$

By the Maxwell equation, $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{B} = 0$, we should then have $\frac{\partial b}{\partial x_3} = 0$. Assume that the function $b : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and bounded. In Subsection 2.1 we describe in more detail the class of admissible functions b . Let $\mathbf{A} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$ be a magnetic potential generating the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$, i.e. $\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$. Introduce the Pauli matrices

$$\hat{\sigma}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\sigma}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\sigma}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Set $\hat{\sigma} := (\hat{\sigma}_1, \hat{\sigma}_2, \hat{\sigma}_3)$. Let

$$H_0 := (\hat{\sigma} \cdot (-i\nabla - \mathbf{A}))^2 \quad (1.2)$$

be the unperturbed self-adjoint Pauli operator defined originally on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$, and then closed in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$. We have

$$H_0 := \begin{pmatrix} (-i\nabla - \mathbf{A})^2 - b & 0 \\ 0 & (-i\nabla - \mathbf{A})^2 + b \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} H_0^- & 0 \\ 0 & H_0^+ \end{pmatrix} = H_0^- \oplus H_0^+.$$

AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J10, 81Q10, 35P20, 35P25, 47F05

Keywords: Pauli operators, spectral shift function, low-energy asymptotics, Levinson formula

*Facultad de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Macul, Santiago de Chile. E-mail: graikov@mat.puc.cl

Further, let $v_{jk} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $j, k = 1, 2$. Assume that v_{11} and v_{22} are real-valued, and $v_{12} = \overline{v_{21}}$. Introduce the symmetric matrix

$$V(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{pmatrix} v_{11}(\mathbf{x}) & v_{12}(\mathbf{x}) \\ v_{21}(\mathbf{x}) & v_{22}(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

On the domain of H_0 define the operator

$$H := H_0 + V.$$

Assume that

$$(H - i)^{-1} - (H_0 - i)^{-1} \in S_1(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)) \quad (1.3)$$

where $S_1(X)$ denotes the trace class of linear operators acting in the Hilbert space X . By the diamagnetic inequality and the boundedness of b , we find that (1.3) holds true if

$$|v_{jk}|^{1/2}(-\Delta + 1)^{-1} \in S_2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad j, k = 1, 2, \quad (1.4)$$

where $S_2(X)$ denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt class of linear operators acting in X . On its turn, (1.4) holds true if and only if $v_{jk} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

By (1.3), there exists a unique $\xi = \xi(\cdot; H, H_0) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}; (1 + E^2)^{-1} dE)$ which vanishes identically on $(-\infty, \inf \sigma(H))$, such that *the Lifshits-Krein trace formula*

$$\text{Tr}(f(H) - f(H_0)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi(E; H, H_0) f'(E) dE \quad (1.5)$$

holds for each $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ (see the original works [25], [22], or [33, Chapter 8]).

The function $\xi(\cdot; H, H_0)$ is called *the spectral shift function* (SSF) for the operator pair (H, H_0) . If $E < 0 = \inf \sigma(H_0)$, then the spectrum of H below E could be at most discrete, and for almost every $E < 0$ we have

$$\xi(E; H, H_0) = -N(E; H) \quad (1.6)$$

where $N(E; H)$ denotes the number of eigenvalues of H lying in the interval $(-\infty, E)$, and counted with their multiplicities. On the other hand, for almost every $E \in \sigma_{\text{ac}}(H_0) = [0, \infty)$ (see Corollary 2.2 below), the SSF $\xi(E; H, H_0)$ is related to the scattering determinant $\det S(E; H, H_0)$ for the pair (H, H_0) by *the Birman-Krein formula*

$$\det S(E; H, H_0) = e^{-2\pi i \xi(E; H, H_0)} \quad (1.7)$$

(see the original work [8] or [33, Section 8.4]).

A priori, the SSF $\xi(E; H, H_0)$ is defined for almost every $E \in \mathbb{R}$. In this article, if $E \in (-\infty, \mathcal{C}) \setminus \{0\}$ where $\mathcal{C} > 0$ is a constant defined in (2.13), we will identify $\xi(E; H, H_0)$ with a representative of its equivalence class, described explicitly below in Subsection 4.1 under the assumption that the matrix $V(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, has a definite sign. Under our generic assumptions on V , we check that the SSF $\xi(\cdot; H, H_0)$ is bounded on every compact subset of

$(-\infty, \mathcal{C}) \setminus \{0\}$, and continuous on $(-\infty, \mathcal{C}) \setminus (\{0\} \cup \sigma_{\text{pp}}(H))$ where $\sigma_{\text{pp}}(H)$ denotes the set of the eigenvalues of H (see Proposition 4.1 below).

The main results of the article concern the asymptotic behavior of the SSF $\xi(E; H, H_0)$ as $E \rightarrow 0$ for perturbations V of definite sign. We show that even for certain V of compact support, the SSF $\xi(\cdot; H, H_0)$ has a singularity at the origin (see Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 below). More precisely, we show that $\xi(E; H, H_0) \rightarrow \infty$ as $E \downarrow 0$ if the perturbation is positive, and $\xi(E; H, H_0) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $E \uparrow 0$ and $E \downarrow 0$ if the perturbation is negative. The singularities of the SSF at the origin are described in the terms of effective Hamiltonians of Berezin-Toeplitz type; their spectral properties have been studied, for instance, in [29], [31], and [30]. Assuming that the perturbation admits a power-like or exponential decay at infinity, or that it has a compact support, we obtain the first asymptotic term of $\xi(E; H, H_0)$ as $E \uparrow 0$ and $E \downarrow 0$ (see Corollaries 3.6 and 3.7 below). In particular, if the perturbation is negative, we show that there exists a finite positive limit

$$\lim_{E \downarrow 0} \frac{\xi(E; H, H_0)}{\xi(-E; H, H_0)}$$

which depends only on the decay rate of V at infinity (see Corollary 3.8 below).

Similar results concerning the singularities at the Landau levels of the SSF in case where the unperturbed operator is the 3D Schrödinger operator with constant magnetic field, and the perturbation is a sign-definite scalar potential which decays fast enough at infinity, were obtained in [15]. The relation between these singularities and the possible accumulation of resonances at the Landau levels, was considered in [10].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we discuss the class of the admissible magnetic fields, describe the basic spectral properties of the operator H_0 , and introduce the Berezin-Toeplitz operators we need. In Section 3 we formulate our main results as well as some corollaries of them. Section 4 is devoted to auxiliary material such as the representation of the SSF due to A. Pushnitski, and estimates of appropriate sandwiched resolvents. Finally, Section 5 contains the proofs of Theorems 3.1 – 3.2.

2 Admissible Magnetic Fields and Effective Hamiltonians

2.1 Admissible magnetic fields

Let $\mathbf{B}$ have the form (1.1). Assume that $b = b_0 + \tilde{b}$ where $b_0 > 0$ is a constant, while the function $\tilde{b} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that the Poisson equation

$$\Delta \tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{b} \tag{2.1}$$

admits a solution $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, continuous and bounded together with its derivatives of order up to two. Abusing slightly the terminology, we will say that b is an *admissible* magnetic field. Also, we will call the constant b_0 the *mean value* of b , and $\tilde{b}$ the *background* of b . In our

leading example, the admissible background $\tilde{b}$ has the form

$$\tilde{b}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\lambda \cdot x} d\nu(\lambda), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (2.2)$$

where ν is a Borel charge (i.e. a complex-valued measure) defined on $\mathbb{R}^2$ which satisfies

$$|\nu|(\mathbb{R}^2) < \infty, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\nu(\delta) = \overline{\nu(-\delta)} \quad (2.4)$$

for each Borel set $\delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\nu(\{0\}) = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\lambda|^{-2} d|\nu|(\lambda) < \infty. \quad (2.6)$$

If $\tilde{b}$ satisfies (2.2), then the Poisson equation (2.1) admits a solution

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) := - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\lambda|^{-2} e^{i\lambda \cdot x} d\nu(\lambda), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (2.7)$$

which possesses all the prescribed properties.

Let us give two further examples of admissible backgrounds $\tilde{b}$ of the form (2.2).

(i) Let $\lambda_n \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, $b_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |b_n| (1 + |\lambda_n|^{-2}) < \infty$. Then the almost periodic function $\tilde{b}(x) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b_n e^{i\lambda_n \cdot x}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, is an admissible background, provided that it is real-valued. In this case the charge ν in (2.2) is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Evidently, the real-valued periodic functions with zero mean value and absolutely convergent series of the Fourier coefficients, belong to the described class of admissible backgrounds.

(ii) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a Lebesgue measurable function which satisfies $f(\lambda) = \overline{f(-\lambda)}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |\lambda|^{-2}) |f(\lambda)| d\lambda < \infty$. Then $\tilde{b}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\lambda \cdot x} f(\lambda) d\lambda$ is again an admissible background. In this case charge ν in (2.2) is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

For $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ set $\varphi_0 := b_0(x_1^2 + x_2^2)/4$ and

$$\varphi := \varphi_0 + \tilde{\varphi}, \quad (2.8)$$

$\tilde{\varphi}$ being introduced in (2.1). Then $\Delta\varphi_0 = b_0$ and $\Delta\varphi = b$. Put $\mathbf{A} := (A_1, A_2, A_3)$ with

$$A_1 := -\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_2}, \quad A_2 := \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_1}, \quad A_3 = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

The magnetic potential $\mathbf{A} := (A_1, A_2, A_3) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3)$ generates the magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = \text{curl } \mathbf{A} = (0, 0, b)$. Changing, if necessary, the gauge, we will assume that the magnetic potential $\mathbf{A}$ in (1.2) is given by (2.9).

2.2 Spectral properties of the operator H_0

Introduce the the annihilation and the creation operators

$$a = a(b) := -2ie^{-\varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} e^{\varphi}, \quad a^* = a(b)^* := -2ie^{\varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} e^{-\varphi},$$

the function φ being defined in (2.8), and $z := x_1 + ix_2$, $\bar{z} := x_1 - ix_2$. The operators a and a^* defined initially on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and then closed in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, are mutually adjoint. Set

$$\begin{aligned} H_\perp^- &= H_\perp^-(b) := a^*a, & H_\perp^+ &= H_\perp^+(b) := aa^*, \\ H_\perp &= H_\perp(b) := \begin{pmatrix} H_\perp^- & 0 \\ 0 & H_\perp^+ \end{pmatrix} = H_\perp^- \oplus H_\perp^+. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\text{Ker } H_\perp^- = \text{Ker } a = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid u = ge^{-\varphi}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0 \right\}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\text{Ker } H_\perp^+ = \text{Ker } a^* = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid u = ge^{\varphi}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} = 0 \right\},$$

$$\text{Ker } H_\perp = \{ \mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2) \mid u_1 \in \text{Ker } H_\perp^-, u_2 \in \text{Ker } H_\perp^+ \}. \quad (2.11)$$

Note that $\text{Ker } H_\perp^-$ (respectively, $\text{Ker } H_\perp^+$) is a weighted holomorphic (respectively, antiholomorphic) space of Fock-Segal-Bargmann type (see e.g. [18, Section 2 and Subsection 3.2]). Since we have chosen $b_0 > 0$, and $\tilde{\varphi}$ is supposed to be bounded, we find that $\dim \text{Ker } H_\perp^- = \infty$ while $\dim \text{Ker } H_\perp^+ = 0$.

Proposition 2.1. [30, Proposition 1.2] *Let b be an admissible magnetic field with $b_0 > 0$. Then $0 = \inf \sigma(H_\perp)$ is an isolated eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity. More precisely, we have*

$$\dim \text{Ker } H_\perp = \infty, \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$(0, \mathcal{C}) \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma(H_\perp)$$

with

$$\mathcal{C} := 2b_0 \exp(-2 \text{osc } \tilde{\varphi}), \quad (2.13)$$

where $\text{osc } \tilde{\varphi} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{\varphi}(x) - \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{\varphi}(x)$.

Remarks: (i) Relation (2.12) holds true also for more general backgrounds $\tilde{b}$. For example, it is sufficient that $\tilde{b}$ is bounded, and the solution $\tilde{\varphi} \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of the Poisson equation (2.1) satisfies only

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = o(|x|^2), \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.14)$$

If $\tilde{b}$ is of the form (2.2), and relations (2.3) - (2.5) (but not necessarily (2.6)) hold true, then

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(\lambda \cdot x)^2}{|\lambda|^2} \int_0^1 (1-s) e^{is\lambda \cdot x} ds d\nu(\lambda), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

is in $C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and satisfies (2.1) and (2.14). However, some of our further results, in particular, Lemma 2.3 below, could be not true for such more general magnetic fields.

(ii) If b is a periodic magnetic field, the fact that the origin is an isolated eigenvalue of $H_\perp$, was already mentioned in [14], and was proved in [6]. A far going extension of the results of [14], concerning the existence of a *strictly positive* isolated eigenvalue of $H_\perp$ of infinite multiplicity, could be found in [26].

Now note that we have

$$H_0^\pm = H_\perp^\pm \otimes I_\parallel + I_\perp \otimes H_\parallel \quad (2.15)$$

where $I_\parallel$ and $I_\perp$ are the identity operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ respectively, and

$$H_\parallel := -\frac{d^2}{dx_3^2}$$

is the self-adjoint operator, originally defined on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, and then closed in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Since $\sigma(H_\parallel)$ coincides with $[0, \infty)$, and is purely absolutely continuous, while $\inf \sigma(H_\perp^-) = 0$, we find that (2.15) combined with, say, the arguments of [2, Subsection 8.2.3], implies the following

Corollary 2.2. *Assume that b is an admissible magnetic field. Then the spectrum $\sigma(H_0)$ of the operator H_0 coincides with $[0, \infty)$, and is purely absolutely continuous.*

2.3 Berezin-Toeplitz operators

Denote by $p = p(b)$ the orthogonal projection onto $\text{Ker } H_\perp^-(b)$ (see (2.10)). It is well known that p admits a continuous integral kernel $\mathcal{P}_b(x, y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (see e.g. [18, Theorem 2.3]).

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that the magnetic field b is admissible. Then we have*

$$\frac{b_0}{2\pi} e^{-2\text{osc } \tilde{\varphi}} \leq \mathcal{P}_b(x, x) \leq \frac{b_0}{2\pi} e^{2\text{osc } \tilde{\varphi}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (2.16)$$

Proof. Introduce the functions

$$\phi_k(x) := \sqrt{\frac{b_0}{2\pi k!}} \left(\frac{b_0}{2}\right)^{k/2} (x_1 + ix_2)^k e^{-\varphi_0(x)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (2.17)$$

which constitute an orthonormal in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ basis of $\text{Ker } H_\perp^-(b_0) = \text{Ker } a(b_0)$ (see e.g. [31]). Let $\gamma : l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+) \rightarrow l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ be the operator given in the canonic basis by the matrix $\{g_{jk}\}_{j,k=0}^\infty$ with $g_{jk} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\tilde{\varphi}} \phi_j \overline{\phi_k} dx$, $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. It is easy to see that γ is self-adjoint, bounded, and

$$\inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\tilde{\varphi}(y)} \leq \inf \sigma(\gamma) \leq \sup \sigma(\gamma) \leq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\tilde{\varphi}(y)}. \quad (2.18)$$

Set $\rho := \gamma^{-1/2}$. Let $\{r_{jk}\}_{j,k=0}^\infty$ be the matrix of ρ in the canonic basis of $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$. Put

$$\psi_j(x) := e^{-\tilde{\varphi}(x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_{jk} \phi_k(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Then $\{\psi_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ is an orthonormal in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ basis of $\text{Ker } a(b)$, and

$$\mathcal{P}_b(x, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j(x)|^2 = e^{-2\tilde{\varphi}(x)} \|\rho\phi(x)\|_{l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)}^2 \quad (2.19)$$

where $\phi(x) := \{\phi_k(x)\}_{k=0}^\infty \in l^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ being fixed (see [18, Theorem 2.4]). Making use of (2.18) and the spectral theorem, we find that (2.19) and the obvious equality $\sum_{k=0}^\infty |\phi_k(x)|^2 = \frac{b_0}{2\pi}$, valid for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, imply (2.16). $\square$

The Berezin-Toeplitz operators necessary for the formulation of our main results, have the form $p(b)Up(b)$ where $U : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In Lemma 2.4 below we describe a class of compact operators of this type (admitting also complex-valued U).

Let X be a separable Hilbert space. In coherence with our previous notations $S_1(X)$ and $S_2(X)$, we denote by $S_q(X)$, $q \in [1, \infty)$, the Schatten - von Neumann classes of compact linear operators T for which the norm $\|T\|_q := (\text{Tr } |T|^q)^{1/q}$ is finite.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $U \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $q \in [1, \infty)$. Assume that b is an admissible magnetic field. Then $p(b)Up(b) \in S_q(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$, and*

$$\|p(b)Up(b)\|_q^q \leq \frac{b_0}{2\pi} e^{2\text{osc } \tilde{\varphi}} \|U\|_{L^q}^q. \quad (2.20)$$

Proof. If $U \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then

$$\|p(b)Up(b)\| \leq \|U\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (2.21)$$

If $U \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then by $p(b)Up(b) = p(b)|U|^{1/2}e^{i\arg U}|U|^{1/2}p(b)$ and (2.16), we have

$$\|e^{i\arg U}|U|^{1/2}p(b)\|_2^2 = \|p(b)|U|^{1/2}\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{P}_b(x, x)|U(x)|dx \leq \frac{b_0}{2\pi} e^{2\text{osc } \tilde{\varphi}} \|U\|_{L^1}$$

Therefore,

$$\|p(b)Up(b)\|_1 \leq \frac{b_0}{2\pi} e^{2\text{osc } \tilde{\varphi}} \|U\|_{L^1}. \quad (2.22)$$

Interpolating between (2.21) and (2.22), we get (2.20). $\square$

For further references, introduce the orthogonal projections

$$P = P(b) := p \otimes I_\parallel, \quad Q = Q(b) := I - P,$$

acting in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and the orthogonal projections

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}(b) := \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}(b) := \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.23)$$

acting in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$. Here I and $\mathbf{I}$ are the identity operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$ respectively.

3 Main Results

3.1 Statement of the main results

For $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we denote by $x = (x_1, x_2)$ the variables on the plane perpendicular to the magnetic field. Suppose that the matrix V satisfies

$$v_{jk} \in C(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad |v_{jk}(\mathbf{x})| \leq C_0 \langle x \rangle^{-m_\perp} \langle x_3 \rangle^{-m_3}, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad j, k = 1, 2, \quad (3.1)$$

with $C_0 > 0$, $m_\perp > 2$, $m_3 > 1$, and $\langle y \rangle := (1 + |y|^2)^{1/2}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 1$. Our main results will be formulated under a more restrictive assumption than (3.1), namely

$$v_{jk} \in C(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad |v_{jk}(\mathbf{x})| \leq C_0 \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-m}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad j, k = 1, 2, \quad (3.2)$$

with $m > 3$. Note that (3.2) implies (3.1) with any $m_3 \in (0, m)$ and $m_\perp = m - m_3$.

In the sequel we will assume that the perturbation of the operator H_0 is of definite sign. For notational convenience, we will suppose that

$$V(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (3.3)$$

and will consider the operators $H_0 + V$ or $H_0 - V$.

Assume that (3.1) with $m_\perp > 2$, $m_3 > 1$, and (3.3) hold true. Set

$$W(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_{11}(x, x_3) dx_3, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (3.4)$$

If, moreover, V satisfies (3.2), then

$$0 \leq W(x) \leq C'_0 \langle x \rangle^{-m+1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (3.5)$$

where $C'_0 = C_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle x \rangle^{-m} dx$. For $E > 0$ introduce the operator

$$\omega(E) := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{E}} p(b) W p(b). \quad (3.6)$$

Evidently, $\omega(E)$ is self-adjoint and non-negative in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. If b is an admissible magnetic field, $E > 0$, and V satisfies (3.1) with $m_\perp > 2$ and $m_3 > 1$, then Lemma 2.4 with $U = W$ implies $\omega(E) \in S_1$.

Let $T = T^*$. Denote by $\mathbb{P}_\delta(T)$ the spectral projection of T associated with the Borel set $\delta \subset \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that T is compact and put

$$n_\pm(s; T) := \text{rank } \mathbb{P}_{(s, \infty)}(\pm T), \quad s > 0.$$

Our first theorem concerns the asymptotic behavior of the SSF $\xi(E; H, H_0)$ as the energy approaches the origin from below.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (3.2) with $m > 3$, and (3.3) hold true. Assume that b is an admissible magnetic field. Then for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ we have*

$$-n_+((1-\varepsilon); \omega(E)) + O(1) \leq \xi(-E; H_0 - V, H_0) \leq -n_+((1+\varepsilon); \omega(E)) + O(1), \quad E \downarrow 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Remark: By (1.6), if (3.3) holds true, then $\xi(-E; H_0 + V, H_0) = 0$ for each $E > 0$.

Suppose again that the potential V satisfies (3.1) with $m_\perp > 2$, $m_3 > 1$, and (3.3). For $E > 0$ define the matrix-valued function

$$\mathcal{W}_E(x) := \begin{pmatrix} w_{11}(x) & w_{12}(x) \\ w_{21}(x) & w_{22}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w_{11}(x) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_{11}(x, x_3) \cos^2(\sqrt{E}x_3) dx_3, & w_{22}(x) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_{11}(x, x_3) \sin^2(\sqrt{E}x_3) dx_3, \\ w_{12}(x) &= w_{21}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_{11}(x, x_3) \cos(\sqrt{E}x_3) \sin(\sqrt{E}x_3) dx_3. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\Omega(E) := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{E}} p(b) \mathcal{W}_E p(b). \quad (3.9)$$

Evidently, $\Omega(E)$ is self-adjoint in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2)$, and $\Omega(E) \geq 0$. Since $\omega(E) \in S_1$, it is easy to check that $\Omega(E) \in S_1$ as well.

Our second theorem concerns the asymptotic behavior of the SSF $\xi(E; H, H_0)$ as the energy approaches the origin from above.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (3.2) with $m > 3$ and (3.3) hold true. Assume that b is an admissible magnetic field. Then for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\pm \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Tr} \arctan((1 \pm \varepsilon)^{-1} \Omega(E)) + O(1) \leq \\ &\quad \xi(E; H_0 \pm V, H_0) \leq \\ &\pm \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Tr} \arctan((1 \mp \varepsilon)^{-1} \Omega(E)) + O(1), \quad E \downarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Remark: The privileged role of the entry v_{11} of the matrix V which occurs in the operators $\omega(E)$ and $\Omega(E)$, is determined by our assumption that $b_0 > 0$, and, hence, the kernel of $H_\perp$ consists of elements with spin-up polarization (see (2.11)). In particular, we have

$$\mathbf{P}(b) V \mathbf{P}(b) = \begin{pmatrix} P(b) v_{11} P(b) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 can be found in Section 4. In the following subsection we will describe explicitly the asymptotics of $\xi(-E; H_0 - V, H_0)$ and $\xi(E; H_0 \pm V H_0)$ as $E \downarrow 0$, under generic assumptions about the behavior of $W(x)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

3.2 Corollaries

By (3.7) and (3.10), we can reduce the analysis of the behavior as $E \rightarrow 0$ of $\xi(E; H_0 \pm V, H_0)$, to the investigation of the eigenvalue asymptotics of compact Berezin-Toeplitz operators $p(b)Up(b)$, discussed in the following three lemmas.

The first one treats the case where the decay of U at infinity is power-like. It involves the concept of *an integrated density of states* (IDS) for the operator $H_\perp^-(b)$. Let χ_Q be the characteristic function of the square $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and let $|Q|$ denote its area. We recall that the non-increasing function $\varrho_b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called IDS for the operator $H_\perp^-(b)$, if it satisfies

$$\varrho_b(E) = \lim_{|Q| \rightarrow \infty} |Q|^{-1} \text{Tr} (\chi_Q \mathbb{P}_{(-\infty, E)}(H_\perp^-(b)) \chi_Q) \quad (3.11)$$

at its continuity points $E \in \mathbb{R}$ (see e.g. [20, 13]). If $b = b_0$, i.e. if $\tilde{b} = 0$, we have

$$\varrho_{b_0}(E) = \frac{b_0}{2\pi} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \Theta(E - 2b_0q), \quad E \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $\Theta(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t < 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } t > 0, \end{cases}$ is the Heaviside function.

Lemma 3.3. [30, Proposition 3.5] *Let $U \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfy*

$$0 \leq U(x) \leq C_1 \langle x \rangle^{-\alpha}, \quad |\nabla U(x)| \leq C_1 \langle x \rangle^{-\alpha-1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

for $\alpha > 0$ and $C_1 > 0$. Assume, moreover, that:

- *$U(x) = u_0(x/|x|)|x|^{-\alpha}(1 + o(1))$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, where u_0 is a continuous function on $\mathbb{S}^1$ which does not vanish identically;*
- *b is an admissible magnetic field;*
- *there exists an IDS ϱ_b for the operator $H_\perp^-(b)$.*

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_+(s; p(b)Up(b)) &= \frac{b_0}{2\pi} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 | U(x) > s\}| (1 + o(1)) = \\ &\Psi_\alpha(s; u_0, b_0) (1 + o(1)), \quad s \downarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where, as above, $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure, and

$$\Psi_\alpha(s) = \Psi_\alpha(s; u_0, b_0) := s^{-2/\alpha} \frac{b_0}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} u_0(\theta)^{2/\alpha} d\theta, \quad s > 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Remarks: (i) In [30, Proposition 3.5] we considered only the example of almost periodic admissible magnetic fields, and proved explicitly the existence of the IDS for the operator $H_{\perp}^{-}(b)$. In Lemma 3.3 above the existence of the IDS is just a hypothesis. That is why, we summarize here the main ingredients of proof of [30, Proposition 3.5] which do not concern the existence of the IDS:

- Applying variational and commutator techniques developed, in particular, in [12, 21], we show that for each $E \in (0, \mathcal{C})$ we have

$$n_{+}(s; p(b)Up(b)) = n_{-}(s/E; U^{1/2}(H_{\perp}^{-} - E)^{-1}U^{1/2})(1 + o(1)), \quad s \downarrow 0; \quad (3.15)$$

- Using the Birman-Schwinger principle, as well as the methods of [1, 23, 19] concerning the strong-electric-field asymptotics of the discrete spectrum of the operator $H_{\perp}^{-}(b) + gU$ lying in the gap $(0, \mathcal{C})$ of $\sigma(H_{\perp}^{-}(b))$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} g^{-2/\alpha} n_{-}(g^{-1}; U^{1/2}(H_{\perp}^{-} - E)^{-1}U^{1/2}) = \\ \int_{-\infty}^E |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid u_0(x/|x|)|x|^{-\alpha} > E - t\}| d\varrho_b(t) = \\ E^{-2/\alpha} \frac{\mathcal{J}(b)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} u_0(\theta)^{2/\alpha} d\theta, \quad E \in (0, \mathcal{C}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where $\mathcal{J}(b)$ is the jump of the IDS ϱ_b at the origin;

- We check that the family $H_{\perp}^{-}(b_0 + s\tilde{b})$, $s \in [0, 1]$, is continuous in the norm resolvent sense, and, utilizing a gap-labelling theorem due to J. Bellissard [5, Proposition 4.2.5], we find that the jump $J(b_0 + s\tilde{b})$ is independent of $s \in [0, 1]$. In particular, (3.12) implies

$$\mathcal{J}(b) = \mathcal{J}(b_0) = \frac{b_0}{2\pi}. \quad (3.17)$$

Putting together (3.15) – (3.17), we obtain (3.13). As a by-product of (3.11) with any $E \in (0, \mathcal{C})$, and (3.17), we obtain the formula

$$\lim_{|Q| \rightarrow \infty} |Q|^{-1} \int_Q \mathcal{P}_b(x, x) dx = \frac{b_0}{2\pi},$$

valid if b is an admissible magnetic field, and there exists an IDS ϱ_b for the operator $H_{\perp}^{-}(b)$.

(ii) In the case $b = b_0$ (i.e. $\tilde{b} = 0$) a variant of Lemma 3.3 was proved in [29] with the help of pseudo-differential techniques. In the case of general admissible backgrounds $\tilde{b}$, the methods of [29] are not directly applicable: due to the factor $\exp(-\tilde{\varphi})$ whose derivatives generically do not decay at infinity, we do not obtain suitable symbols of pseudo-differential operators.

Our following two lemmas concern respectively the cases where U decays exponentially at infinity, or has a compact support. First note that, by [30, Proposition 3.2], we have

$$n_+(\exp(2 \operatorname{osc} \tilde{\varphi})s; p(b_0)Up(b_0)) \leq n_+(s; p(b)Up(b)) \leq n_+(\exp(-2 \operatorname{osc} \tilde{\varphi})s; p(b_0)Up(b_0)), \quad (3.18)$$

provided that $s > 0$, $U : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, and the operator $U(-\Delta + 1)^{-1}$ is compact in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Combining (3.18) with the results of [31, Proposition 3.1 with $q = 0$] and of [31, Proposition 3.2], we obtain the following

Lemma 3.4. *Let $0 \leq U \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Assume that*

$$\ln U(x) = -\eta|x|^{2\beta}(1 + o(1)), \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

for some $\beta \in (0, \infty)$, $\eta \in (0, \infty)$. Let b be an admissible magnetic field. Then we have

$$n_+(s; p(b)Up(b)) = \Phi_\beta(s)(1 + o(1)), \quad s \downarrow 0,$$

where

$$\Phi_\beta(s) = \Phi_\beta(s; \eta, b_0) := \begin{cases} \frac{b_0}{2\eta^{1/\beta}} |\ln s|^{1/\beta} & \text{if } 0 < \beta < 1, \\ \frac{1}{\ln(1+2\eta/b_0)} |\ln s| & \text{if } \beta = 1, \\ \frac{\beta}{\beta-1} (\ln |\ln s|)^{-1} |\ln s| & \text{if } 1 < \beta < \infty, \end{cases} \quad s \in (0, e^{-1}). \quad (3.19)$$

Similarly, the combination of (3.18) with the results of [31, Proposition 3.2 with $q = 0$] and of [31, Proposition 3.2], implies the following

Lemma 3.5. *Let $0 \leq U \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Assume that the support of U is compact, and that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $U \geq C$ on an open non-empty subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let b be an admissible magnetic field. Then we have*

$$n_+(s; p(b)Up(b)) = \Phi_\infty(s)(1 + o(1)), \quad s \downarrow 0,$$

where

$$\Phi_\infty(s) := (\ln |\ln s|)^{-1} |\ln s|, \quad s \in (0, e^{-1}). \quad (3.20)$$

Employing now Lemma 3.3, 3.4, or 3.5, we find that (3.7) immediately entails the following

Corollary 3.6. *Let (3.2) with $m > 3$, and (3.3) hold true.*

(i) Assume that the hypotheses of Lemma 3.3 hold with $U = W$ and $\alpha = m - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(-E; H_0 - V, H_0) &= -\frac{b_0}{2\pi} \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid W(x) > 2\sqrt{E} \right\} \right| (1 + o(1)) = \\ &= -\Psi_{m-1}(2\sqrt{E}; u_0, b_0) (1 + o(1)), \quad E \downarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

the function Ψ_α being defined in (3.14).

(ii) Assume that the hypotheses of Lemma 3.4 hold with $U = W$. Then we have

$$\xi(-E; H_0 - V, H_0) = -\Phi_\beta(2\sqrt{E}; \eta, b_0) (1 + o(1)), \quad E \downarrow 0, \quad \beta \in (0, \infty),$$

the functions Φ_β being defined in (3.19).

(iii) Assume that the hypotheses of Lemma 3.5 hold with $U = W$. Then we have

$$\xi(-E; H_0 - V, H_0) = -\Phi_\infty(2\sqrt{E}) (1 + o(1)), \quad E \downarrow 0,$$

the function Φ_∞ being defined in (3.20).

Remark: By (1.6), the results of Corollary 3.6, as well those of Theorem 3.1, concern the asymptotic distribution near the origin of the (negative) discrete spectrum of the operator $H_0 - V$. Results, related to Corollary 3.6 (i) concerning perturbations V of power-like decay, could be found in [21] where, similarly to the present article, magnetic fields $\mathbf{B} = (0, 0, b)$ of constant direction are considered. Moreover, in [21], the perturbation V is not obliged to be asymptotically homogeneous, the decay rate m is allowed to be any positive number, and two distinct types of asymptotic formulae concerning the case $m \in (0, 2)$ and $m \in (2, \infty)$ are deduced, the latter being similar to (3.21). On the other hand, in [21] the function b is assumed to be positive, its derivative is supposed to decay at infinity, and the perturbation V is scalar. Results which extend Lemma 3.5, and are related to Corollary 3.6 (iii), are contained in [16].

Next, the combination of Theorem 3.2 with Lemmas 3.3 – 3.5 yields the following

Corollary 3.7. (i) Let (3.2) with $m > 3$, and (3.3), hold true. Assume that the hypotheses of Lemma 3.3 are fulfilled for $U = W$ and $\alpha = m - 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(E; H_0 \pm V, H_0) &= \pm \frac{b_0}{2\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \arctan((2\sqrt{E})^{-1}W(x))dx (1 + o(1)) = \\ &\pm \frac{1}{2 \cos(\pi/(m-1))} \Psi_{m-1}(2\sqrt{E}; u_0, b_0) (1 + o(1)), \quad E \downarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let (3.2) with $m > 3$, and (3.3), hold true. Suppose in addition that V satisfies (3.1) for some $m_\perp > 2$ and $m_3 > 2$. Finally, assume that the hypotheses of Lemma 3.4 are fulfilled for $U = W$. Then we have

$$\xi(E; H_0 \pm V, H_0) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \Phi_\beta(2\sqrt{E}; \eta, b_0) (1 + o(1)), \quad E \downarrow 0, \quad \beta \in (0, \infty).$$

(iii) Let the assumptions of the previous part be fulfilled, except that the hypotheses of Lemma 3.4 are replaced by those of Lemma 3.5. Then we have

$$\xi(E; H_0 \pm V, H_0) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \Phi_\infty(2\sqrt{E}) (1 + o(1)), \quad E \downarrow 0.$$

The main ingredient of the proof of Corollary 3.7 is the estimate

$$\mathrm{Tr} \arctan(s^{-1}\Omega(E)) = \mathrm{Tr} \arctan(s^{-1}\tilde{\Omega}(E))(1 + o(1)), \quad E \downarrow 0, \quad s > 0, \quad (3.22)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Omega}(E) := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{E}} p(b) \begin{pmatrix} W & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} p(b), \quad E > 0,$$

W being defined in (3.4). Estimate (3.22) is obtained by using the Lifshits-Krein trace formula (1.5) with $f(E) = \arctan E$, $E \in \mathbb{R}$. Since the argument of the proof of Corollary 3.7 is completely analogous to the one of [15, Corollary 3.2], we omit the details.

Remark: By (1.7), Corollary 3.7 as well as Theorem 3.2 concern the low-energy asymptotics of the scattering phase $\arg \det S(H_0 \pm V, H_0)$.

Putting together the results of Corollaries 3.6 and 3.7 for negative perturbations, we obtain

Corollary 3.8. *Under the assumptions of Corollary 3.7 (i) we have*

$$\lim_{E \downarrow 0} \frac{\xi(E; H_0 - V, H_0)}{\xi(-E; H_0 - V, H_0)} = \frac{1}{2 \cos(\pi/(m-1))}, \quad m > 3, \quad (3.23)$$

while under the assumptions of Corollary 3.7 (ii)–(iii) we have

$$\lim_{E \downarrow 0} \frac{\xi(E; H_0 - V, H_0)}{\xi(-E; H_0 - V, H_0)} = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.24)$$

Remark: Formulae (3.23) – (3.24) could be interpreted as *generalized Levinson formulae*. We recall that the classical Levinson formula relates the (finite) limiting values as $E \uparrow 0$ and $E \downarrow 0$ of the SSF $\xi(E; -\Delta + V; -\Delta)$ where Δ is the Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 1$, and $V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a scalar potential which decays fast enough at infinity (see the original work [24] or the survey article [32]).

4 Auxiliary results

4.1 A representation of the SSF

In this subsection we introduce a suitable representation of the SSF $\xi(E; H_0 \pm V, H_0)$, $E \in (-\infty, \mathcal{C}) \setminus \{0\}$, based on a general abstract result of A. Pushnitski [27].

Assume that V satisfies (3.3) and (3.1). Set

$$L(\mathbf{x}) = \{\ell_{jk}(\mathbf{x})\}_{j,k=1}^2 := V(\mathbf{x})^{1/2}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (4.1)$$

Then for $E < 0$ we have

$$L(H_0 - E)^{-1/2} \in S_\infty(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2)), \quad (4.2)$$

$$L(H_0 - E)^{-1} \in S_2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2)). \quad (4.3)$$

For $z \in \mathbb{C}_+ := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} \zeta > 0\}$, set $T(z) := L(H_0 - z)^{-1}L$. By [7] (see also [27, Lemma 4.1]), for almost every $E \in \mathbb{R}$ the operator-norm limit

$$T(E + i0) := \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} T(E + i\delta) \quad (4.4)$$

exists, and

$$\operatorname{Im} T(E + i0) \in S_1. \quad (4.5)$$

For trivial reasons the limit in (4.4) exists, and (4.5) holds for *each* $E < 0 = \inf \sigma(H_0)$. In Corollary 4.5 below we show that this is also true for each $E \in (0, \mathcal{C})$. Hence, by [27, Lemma 2.1], the quantity

$$\tilde{\xi}(E; H_0 \pm V, H_0) = \pm \int_{\mathbb{R}} n_{\mp}(1; \operatorname{Re} T(E + i0) + t \operatorname{Im} T(E + i0)) d\mu(t), \quad E \in (-\infty, \mathcal{C}) \setminus \{0\}, \quad (4.6)$$

with

$$d\mu(t) := \frac{dt}{\pi(1 + t^2)},$$

is well-defined. Arguing as in the proof of [12, Proposition 2.5] (see also [11, Proposition 2.1]), and bearing in mind Proposition 4.2, Corollary 4.3, and Proposition 4.4 below, we easily prove the following

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that V satisfies (3.1) with $m_{\perp} > 2$, $m_3 > 1$, and (3.3). Suppose that b is an admissible magnetic field. Then $\tilde{\xi}(\cdot; H_0 \pm V, H_0)$ is bounded on every compact subset of $(-\infty, \mathcal{C}) \setminus \{0\}$, and is continuous on $(-\infty, \mathcal{C}) \setminus (\{0\} \cup \sigma_{\text{pp}}(H \pm V))$.*

Since V satisfies (3.1) with $m_{\perp} > 2$, $m_3 > 1$, relation (1.3) holds true and the SSF $\xi(E; H_0 \pm V, H_0)$ is well defined for almost every $E \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, by [27, Theorem 1.2] we have

$$\xi(E; H_0 \pm V, H_0) = \tilde{\xi}(E; H_0 \pm V, H_0)$$

for almost every $E \in \mathbb{R}$. In this article we identify $\xi(E; H_0 \pm V, H_0)$ with $\tilde{\xi}(E; H_0 \pm V, H_0)$ for $E \in (-\infty, \mathcal{C}) \setminus \{0\}$.

Remark: The representation of the SSF described above admits a generalization to non-sign-definite perturbations V (see [17, 28]). This generalization is based on the concept of the index of orthogonal projections (see [4]).

We formulate our main results and their corollaries for the case of perturbations of constant sign because certain key auxiliary facts are known to be true only in this case.

4.2 Estimates of sandwiched resolvents

For $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ define the operator $R(z) := \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx_3^2} - z\right)^{-1}$, bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The operator $R(z)$ admits the integral kernel $\mathcal{R}_z(x_3 - x'_3)$ where $\mathcal{R}_z(x) = ie^{i\sqrt{z}|x|}/(2\sqrt{z})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and the branch of $\sqrt{z}$ is chosen so that $\text{Im } \sqrt{z} > 0$.

For $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ introduce the operators

$$T_<(z) := LP(H_0 - z)^{-1}L, \quad T_>(z) := LQ(H_0 - z)^{-1}L, \quad (4.7)$$

bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$ (see (2.23) for the definition of the orthogonal projections P and Q).

Then we have $T_<(z) = L \left((p \otimes R(z)) \oplus 0 \right) L$.

For $E \in \mathbb{R}$, $E \neq 0$, define $R(E)$ as the operator with integral kernel $\mathcal{R}_E(x_3 - x'_3)$ where

$$\mathcal{R}_E(x) := \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \mathcal{R}_{E+i\delta}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{-E}|x|}}{2\sqrt{-E}} & \text{if } E < 0, \\ \frac{ie^{i\sqrt{E}|x|}}{2\sqrt{E}} & \text{if } E > 0, \end{cases} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.8)$$

For $E \in \mathbb{R}$, $E \neq 0$, set

$$T_<(E) := L \left((p \otimes R(E)) \oplus 0 \right) L.$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let (3.1) with $m_\perp > 2$, $m_3 > 1$, and (3.3) hold true. Then the operator-valued function $\overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \setminus \{0\} \ni z \mapsto T_<(z) \in S_1$ is well defined and continuous. Moreover,*

$$\|T_<(E)\|_1 \leq C_1(1 + E_+^{1/4})|E|^{-1/2}, \quad E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (4.9)$$

with C_1 independent of E .

Proof. The operator $T_<(z)$ admits the representation

$$T_<(z) = M \left((G \otimes J(z)) \oplus 0 \right) M, \quad z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (4.10)$$

where $M : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$ is the multiplier by the matrix-valued function

$$M(x, x_3) := \langle x \rangle^{m_\perp/2} \langle x_3 \rangle^{m_3/2} L(x, x_3), \quad (x, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (4.11)$$

the operator $G := \langle x \rangle^{-m_\perp/2} p \langle x \rangle^{-m_\perp/2}$ acts in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, while

$$J(z) := \langle x_3 \rangle^{-m_3/2} R(z) \langle x_3 \rangle^{-m_3/2}$$

acts in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Evidently,

$$\|T_<(z)\|_1 \leq \|M\|^2 \|G\|_1 \|J(z)\|_1, \quad z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (4.12)$$

By (3.1), the operator M is bounded. Further, $\|G\|_1 = \|pUp\|_1$ with $U(x) = \langle x \rangle^{-m_\perp}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$. By $m_\perp > 2$ we have $U \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and Lemma 2.4 implies $G \in S_1$. Moreover, M and G are independent of z . By [12, Subsection 4.1] the operator-valued function $\overline{\mathbb{C}_+} \setminus \{0\} \ni z \rightarrow J(z) \in S_1$ is well defined and continuous, and admits the estimate

$$\|J(E)\|_1 \leq C'_1(1 + E_+^{1/4})|E|^{-1/2}, \quad E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad (4.13)$$

with C'_1 independent of E . Now the claim of the lemma follows from (4.10) – (4.13). $\square$

For further references we state here the following obvious

Corollary 4.3. *Let V satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 4.2. Let $E \in \mathbb{R}$, $E \neq 0$. Then $\operatorname{Im} T_{<}(E) \geq 0$. Moreover, if $E < 0$, then $\operatorname{Im} T_{<}(E) = 0$.*

Proposition 4.4. *Let V satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 4.2. Then the function $\mathbb{C} \setminus [\mathcal{C}, \infty) \ni z \mapsto T_{>}(z) \in S_2$ is well defined and analytic. Moreover, for $E \in (-\infty, \mathcal{C})$ we have*

$$T_{>}(E) = T_{>}(E)^*, \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$\|T_{>}(E)\|_2 \leq C_2 \left(1 + \frac{(E+1)_+}{\mathcal{C}-E}\right), \quad (4.15)$$

with C_2 independent of E .

Proof. We have

$$T_{>}(z) = L((Q(H_0^- - z)^{-1}) \oplus (H_0^+ - z)^{-1})L, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [\mathcal{C}, \infty).$$

The function $\mathbb{C} \setminus [\mathcal{C}, \infty) \ni z \mapsto T_{>}(z) \in \mathcal{B}$, the class of linear bounded operators, is well defined and analytic, and (4.14) holds true for $E \in (-\infty, \mathcal{C})$, just because $\mathbb{C} \setminus [\mathcal{C}, \infty)$ is included in the resolvent sets of the operator H_0^- defined on $QD(H_0^-)$, and of the operator H_0^+ defined on $D(H_0^+)$. Further, set

$$F(x, x_3) = \langle x \rangle^{-m_\perp/2} \langle x_3 \rangle^{-m_3/2}, \quad (x, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Note that $L = FM$, the matrix M being defined in (4.11). Then we have

$$\|T_{>}(z)\|_2^2 \leq \|L\|^2 (\|Q(H_0^- - z)^{-1}F\|_2^2 + \|(H_0^+ - z)^{-1}F\|_2^2) \|M\|^2. \quad (4.16)$$

Applying the spectral theorem for bounded functions of self-adjoint operators, the resolvent identity, and the diamagnetic inequality for Hilbert-Schmidt operators, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(H_0^- - z)^{-1}F\|_2 &\leq C(z) \|(H_0^- + 1)^{-1}F\|_2 \leq \\ C(z) \|1 + (H_0^- + 1)^{-1}b\| \|((i\nabla + \mathbf{A})^2 + 1)^{-1}F\|_2 &\leq \\ C(z) \|1 + (H_0^- + 1)^{-1}b\| \|(-\Delta + 1)^{-1}F\|_2 &\end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where

$$C(z) := \sup_{s \in [\mathcal{C}, \infty)} \left| \frac{s+1}{s-z} \right|, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [\mathcal{C}, \infty).$$

Similarly,

$$\|(H_0^+ - z)^{-1}F\|_2 \leq C(z) \|1 - (H_0^+ + 1)^{-1}b\| \|(-\Delta + 1)^{-1}F\|_2. \quad (4.18)$$

Since $\|(-\Delta + 1)^{-1}F\|_2 < \infty$, we find that (4.16) – (4.18) imply that $T_{>}(z) \in S_2$ if $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [\mathcal{C}, \infty)$, and that (4.15) holds true.

The analyticity of $T_{>}(z)$ in S_2 follows from an appropriate estimate of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the derivative $\frac{dT_{>}(z)}{dz}$. $\square$

Propositions 4.2 and 4.4 immediately entail

Corollary 4.5. *Let V satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 4.2. Then for $E = (-\infty, \mathcal{C}) \setminus \{0\}$ the operator-norm limit (4.4) exists, and*

$$T(E + i0) = T_{<}(E) + T_{>}(E). \quad (4.19)$$

Moreover,

$$\operatorname{Re} T(E + i0) = \operatorname{Re} T_{<}(E) + T_{>}(E), \quad (4.20)$$

$$\operatorname{Im} T(E + i0) = \operatorname{Im} T_{<}(E). \quad (4.21)$$

5 Proof of the main results

5.1 A preliminary estimate

This subsection contains a preliminary estimate (see (5.2) below) which will be used in the proofs of Theorems 3.1 – 3.2.

The following lemma contains a suitable version of the Weyl inequalities for the eigenvalues of compact operators.

Lemma 5.1. [9, Chapter I, Eq. (1.32)] *Let T_j^* , $j = 1, 2$, be compact self-adjoint operators acting in the same Hilbert space. Then we have*

$$n_{\pm}(s_1 + s_2; T_1 + T_2) \leq n_{\pm}(s_1; T_1) + n_{\pm}(s_2; T_2) \quad (5.1)$$

for every $s_1 > 0$ and $s_2 > 0$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let (3.1) with $m > 3$, and (3.3) hold true. Let $E = (-\infty, \mathcal{C}) \setminus \{0\}$. Then the asymptotic estimates*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} n_{\pm}(1 + \varepsilon; \operatorname{Re} T_{<}(E) + t \operatorname{Im} T_{<}(E)) d\mu(t) + O(1) \leq \\ & \int_{\mathbb{R}} n_{\pm}(1; \operatorname{Re} T(E + i0) + t \operatorname{Im} T(E + i0)) d\mu(t) \leq \\ & \int_{\mathbb{R}} n_{\pm}(1 - \varepsilon; \operatorname{Re} T_{<}(E) + t \operatorname{Im} T_{<}(E)) d\mu(t) + O(1) \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

hold as $E \rightarrow 0$ for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. By (4.20) and (4.21), and the Weyl inequalities (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} n_{\pm}(1 + \varepsilon; \operatorname{Re} T_{<}(E) + t \operatorname{Im} T_{<}(E)) d\mu(t) - n_{\mp}(\varepsilon; T_{>}(E)) \leq \\ & \int_{\mathbb{R}} n_{\pm}(1; \operatorname{Re} T(E + i0) + t \operatorname{Im} T(E + i0)) d\mu(t) \leq \\ & \int_{\mathbb{R}} n_{\pm}(1 - \varepsilon; \operatorname{Re} T_{<}(E) + t \operatorname{Im} T_{<}(E)) d\mu(t) + n_{\pm}(\varepsilon; T_{>}(E)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Evidently, $n_{\pm}(\varepsilon; T_{>}(E)) \leq \varepsilon^{-2} \|T_{>}(E)\|_2^2$, which combined with (4.15), yields

$$n_{\pm}(\varepsilon; T_{>}(E)) = O(1), \quad E \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.4)$$

Now (5.2) follows from (5.3) and (5.4). $\square$

5.2 Proof of Theorem 3.1

Throughout the subsection we assume the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1. By Corollary 4.3 we have $\operatorname{Im} T_{<}(-E) = 0$ and, hence, $\operatorname{Re} T_{<}(-E) = T_{<}(-E)$ if $E > 0$. Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} n_{+}(s; \operatorname{Re} T_{<}(-E) + t \operatorname{Im} T_{<}(-E)) d\mu(t) = n_{+}(s; T_{<}(-E)), \quad E > 0, \quad s > 0. \quad (5.5)$$

For $E > 0$ define $\mathcal{O}(E) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$ as the operator with matrix-valued integral kernel

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{E}} \ell_{j1}(x, x_3) \mathcal{P}_b(x, x') \ell_{1k}(x', x'_3), \quad j, k = 1, 2, \quad (x, x_3), (x', x'_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Proposition 5.3. *For each $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $s > 0$ we have*

$$n_{+}((1+\varepsilon)s; \mathcal{O}(E)) + O(1) \leq n_{+}(s; T_{<}(-E)) \leq n_{+}((1-\varepsilon)s; \mathcal{O}(E)) + O(1), \quad E \downarrow 0. \quad (5.6)$$

Proof. Fix $s > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. By the Weyl inequalities (5.1),

$$\begin{aligned} & n_{+}((1 + \varepsilon)s; \mathcal{O}(E)) - n_{-}(\varepsilon s; T_{<}(-E) - \mathcal{O}(E)) \leq \\ & n_{+}(s; T_{<}(-E)) \leq \\ & n_{+}((1 - \varepsilon)s; \mathcal{O}(E)) + n_{+}(\varepsilon s; T_{<}(-E) - \mathcal{O}(E)). \end{aligned}$$

In order to get (5.6), it suffices to show that there exists a compact operator $\tilde{T}$ such that

$$\lim_{E \downarrow 0} (T_{<}(-E) - \mathcal{O}(E)) = \tilde{T}. \quad (5.7)$$

Pick $m' \in (3, m)$, and note that

$$T_{<}(-E) - \mathcal{O}(E) = \tilde{M}_{m,m'}((\tilde{G}_{m-m'} \otimes \tilde{J}_{m'}(E)) \oplus 0) \tilde{M}_{m,m'}$$

where $\tilde{M}_{m,m'}$ is the multiplier by the bounded matrix-valued function

$$\langle x \rangle^{(m-m')/2} \langle x_3 \rangle^{m'/2} L(x, x_3), \quad (x, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

$\tilde{G}_{m-m'} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the operator with integral kernel

$$\langle x \rangle^{-(m-m')/2} \mathcal{P}_b(x, x') \langle x' \rangle^{-(m-m')/2}, \quad x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

and $\tilde{J}_{m'}(E)$, $E > 0$, is the operator with integral kernel

$$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{E}} \langle x_3 \rangle^{-m'/2} \left(1 - e^{-\sqrt{E}|x_3 - x'_3|}\right) \langle x'_3 \rangle^{-m'/2}, \quad x_3, x'_3 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Set

$$\tilde{T} = \tilde{M}_{m,m'}((\tilde{G}_{m-m'} \otimes \tilde{J}_{m'}(0)) \oplus 0) \tilde{M}_{m,m'} \quad (5.8)$$

where $\tilde{J}_{m'}(0) : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the operator with integral kernel

$$-\frac{1}{2} \langle x_3 \rangle^{-m'/2} |x_3 - x'_3| \langle x'_3 \rangle^{-m'/2}, \quad x_3, x'_3 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that $\tilde{T}$ admits a matrix-valued integral kernel

$$-\frac{1}{2} \ell_{j1}(x, x_3) |x_3 - x'_3| \mathcal{P}_b(x, x') \ell_{1k}(x', x'_3), \quad j, k = 1, 2, \quad (x, x_3), (x', x'_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (5.9)$$

Since $m - m' > 0$, the operator $\tilde{G}_{m-m'}$ is compact by Lemma 2.4. Since $m' > 3$ we have $\tilde{J}_{m'}(E) \in S_2$ for $E \geq 0$. Bearing in mind that $\tilde{M}_{m,m'}$ is bounded, we find that the operator $\tilde{T}$ is compact. Finally, we have $\lim_{E \downarrow 0} \|\tilde{J}_{m'}(E) - \tilde{J}_{m'}(0)\|_2 = 0$ which easily implies (5.7). $\square$

Proposition 5.4. *For each $E > 0$, and $s > 0$ we have*

$$n_+(s; \mathcal{O}(E)) = n_+(s; \omega(E)), \quad (5.10)$$

the operator $\omega(E)$ being defined in (3.6).

Proof. Define the operator $K : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by

$$(K\mathbf{u})(x) := \sum_{k=1,2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}_b(x, x') \ell_{1k}(x', x'_3) u_k(x', x'_3) dx'_3 dx', \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where $\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$. We have

$$\mathcal{O}(E) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{E}} K^* K, \quad \omega(E) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{E}} K K^*.$$

Since $n_+(s; K^* K) = n_+(s; K K^*)$ for each $s > 0$, we get (5.10). $\square$

Putting together (4.6), (5.2), (5.5), (5.6), and (5.10), we get (3.7), which concludes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

5.3 Proof of Theorem 3.2

Throughout the subsection we assume the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2.

Proposition 5.5. *For each $s > 0$ we have*

$$n_{\pm}(s; \operatorname{Re} T_{<}(E)) = O(1), \quad E \downarrow 0. \quad (5.11)$$

Proof. The operator $\operatorname{Re} T_{<}(E)$ admits the matrix-valued integral kernel

$$-\ell_{j1}(x, x_3) \frac{\sin(\sqrt{E}|x_3 - x'_3|)}{2\sqrt{E}} \mathcal{P}_b(x, x') \ell_{1k}(x', x'_3), \quad j, k = 1, 2, \quad (x, x_3), (x', x'_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 5.3, we find that $\lim_{E \downarrow 0} \operatorname{Re} T_{<}(E) = \tilde{T}$ (see (5.8) – (5.9)) which implies (5.11). $\square$

Making use of Propositions 5.2 and 5.5 and Corollary 4.3, as well as of the Weyl inequalities (5.1) and the evident identities

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} n_{\pm}(s; tT) d\mu(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Tr} \arctan(s^{-1}T), \quad s > 0,$$

with $T = T^* \geq 0$, $T \in S_1$, we obtain the following

Corollary 5.6. *For each $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $s > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Tr} \arctan((s(1 + \varepsilon))^{-1} \operatorname{Im} T_{<}(E)) + O(1) \leq \\ & \int_{\mathbb{R}} n_{\pm}(s; \operatorname{Re} T_{<}(E) + t \operatorname{Im} T_{<}(E)) d\mu(t) \leq \\ & \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Tr} \arctan((s(1 - \varepsilon))^{-1} \operatorname{Im} T_{<}(E)) + O(1), \quad E \downarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Proposition 5.7. *For each $E > 0$ and $s > 0$ we have*

$$n_{+}(s; \operatorname{Im} T_{<}(E)) = n_{+}(s; \Omega(E)), \quad (5.13)$$

the operator $\Omega(E)$ being defined in (3.9). Consequently,

$$\operatorname{Tr} \arctan(s^{-1} \operatorname{Im} T_{<}(E)) = \operatorname{Tr} \arctan(s^{-1} \Omega(E)), \quad E > 0, \quad s > 0. \quad (5.14)$$

Proof. The operator $\operatorname{Im} T_{<}(E)$ admits the matrix-valued integral kernel

$$\ell_{j1}(x, x_3) \frac{\cos(\sqrt{E}(x_3 - x'_3))}{2\sqrt{E}} \mathcal{P}_b(x, x') \ell_{1k}(x', x'_3), \quad j, k = 1, 2, \quad (x, x_3), (x', x'_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Define the operator $\mathcal{K} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2)$ by

$$\mathcal{K}\mathbf{u} := \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2), \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2),$$

where

$$v_1(x) := \sum_{k=1,2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}_b(x, x') \cos(\sqrt{E}x'_3) \ell_{1k}(x', x'_3) u_k(x', x'_3) dx'_3 dx',$$

$$v_2(x) := \sum_{k=1,2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}_b(x, x') \sin(\sqrt{E}x'_3) \ell_{1k}(x', x'_3) u_k(x', x'_3) dx'_3 dx', \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

We have

$$\operatorname{Im} T_{<}(E) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{E}} \mathcal{K}^* \mathcal{K}, \quad \Omega(E) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{E}} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{K}^*.$$

Since $n_+(s; \mathcal{K}^* \mathcal{K}) = n_+(s; \mathcal{K} \mathcal{K}^*)$ for each $s > 0$, we get (5.13). $\square$

Now the combination of (4.6), (5.2), (5.12), and (5.14) yields (3.10).

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Rafael Tiedra de Aldecoa for an illuminating discussion on the contents of [2].

The partial support of the Chilean Science Foundation *Fondecyt* under Grant 1090467, and of *Núcleo Científico ICM P07-027-F “Mathematical Theory of Quantum and Classical Magnetic Systems”*, is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] S. ALAMA, P. A. DEIFT, R. HEMPEL, *Eigenvalue branches of the Schrödinger operator $H - EW$ in a gap of $\sigma(H)$* , Commun. Math. Phys. **121** (1989), 291-321.
- [2] W. O. AMREIN, A. M. BOUTET DE MONVEL, V. GEORGESCU, *C_0 -groups, Commutator Methods and Spectral Theory of N -body Hamiltonians*. Progress in Mathematics, **135**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1996.
- [3] J. AVRON, I. HERBST, B. SIMON, *Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields. I. General interactions*, Duke Math. J. **45** (1978), 847-883.
- [4] J. AVRON, R. SEILER, B. SIMON, *The index of a pair of projections*, J. Funct. Anal. **120** (1994), 220-237.
- [5] J. BELLISSARD, *Gap labelling theorems for Schrödinger operators*, In: From Number Theory to Physics (Les Houches, 1989), 538-630, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [6] A. BESCH, *Eigenvalues in spectral gaps of the two-dimensional Pauli operator*, J. Math. Phys. **41** (2000), 7918-7931.

- [7] M.Š.BIRMAN, S. B. ENTINA, *Stationary approach in abstract scattering theory*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR **31** (1967), 401 – 430 [in Russian]; English translation in Math. USSR – Izv. **1** (1967), 391-420.
- [8] M.Š.BIRMAN, M. G. KREĬN, *On the theory of wave operators and scattering operators*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **144** (1962), 475–478 [in Russian]; English translation in Soviet Math. Doklady **3** (1962).
- [9] M.Š.BIRMAN, M.Z.SOLOMJAK, *Quantitative analysis in Sobolev imbedding theorems and applications to spectral theory*, American Math. Society Translations Series 2, **114**, AMS, Providence R.I., 1980.
- [10] J.F.BONY, V.BRUNEAU, G.D.RAIKOV, *Resonances and spectral shift function near Landau levels*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **57** (2007), 629-671.
- [11] PH. BRIET, G. RAIKOV, E. SOCCORSI, *Spectral properties of a magnetic quantum Hamiltonian in a strip*, Asympt. Anal. **58** (2008), 127-155.
- [12] V. BRUNEAU, A. PUSHNITSKI, G. RAIKOV, *Spectral shift function in strong magnetic fields*, Algebra i Analiz **16** (2004), 207–238; St. Petersburg Math. J. **16** (2005), 181–209.
- [13] S. DOI, A. IWATSUKA, T. MINE, *The uniqueness of the integrated density of states for the Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields*, Math. Z. **237** (2001), 335–371
- [14] B.A.DUBROVIN, S.P.NOVIKOV, *Fundamental states in a periodic field. Magnetic Bloch functions and vector bundles*, Soviet Math.Dokl. **22**, (1980), 240-244.
- [15] C. FERNÁNDEZ, G. RAIKOV, *On the singularities of the magnetic spectral shift function at the Landau levels*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **5** (2004), 381–403.
- [16] N. FILONOV, A. PUSHNITSKI, *Spectral asymptotics of Pauli operators and orthogonal polynomials in complex domains*, Comm. Math. Phys. **264** (2006), 759–772.
- [17] F. GESZTESY, K. MAKAROV, *The Ξ operator and its relation to Krein’s spectral shift function*, J. Anal. Math. **81** (2000), 139–183.
- [18] B.C.HALL, *Holomorphic methods in analysis and mathematical physics*, In: First Summer School in Analysis and Mathematical Physics, Cuernavaca Morelos, 1998, 1-59, Contemp.Math. **260**, AMS, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [19] R. HEMPEL, S.Z. LEVENDORSKII, *On eigenvalues in gaps for perturbed magnetic Schrödinger operators*, J.Math. Phys. **39**, (1998), 63–78.
- [20] T. HUPFER, H. LESCHKE, P. MÜLLER, S. WARZEL, *Existence and uniqueness of the integrated density of states for Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields and unbounded random potentials*, Rev. Math. Phys. **13** (2001), 1547–1581.
- [21] A.IWATSUKA, H.TAMURA, *Asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues for Pauli operators with nonconstant magnetic fields*, Duke Math. J. **93** (1998), 535–574.
- [22] M. G. KREIN, *On the trace formula in perturbation theory*, Mat. Sb. **33** (1953), 597-626 (Russian).

- [23] S.Z. LEVENDORSKII, *The asymptotics for the number of eigenvalue branches for the magnetic Schrödinger operator $H - \lambda W$ in a gap of H* . Math. Z. **223**, (1996), 609–625.
- [24] N. LEVINSON, *On the uniqueness of the potential in a Schrödinger equation for a given asymptotic phase*. Danske Vid. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd. **25**, (1949), no. 9, 29 pp.
- [25] I. M. LIFSHITS, *On a problem in perturbation theory*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **7** (1952), 171–180 (Russian).
- [26] S. P. NOVIKOV, A. P. VESELOV, *Exactly solvable two-dimensional Schrödinger operators and Laplace transformations*, AMS Translations **179** (1997) 109–132.
- [27] A. PUSHNITSKIĬ, *A representation for the spectral shift function in the case of perturbations of fixed sign*, Algebra i Analiz **9** (1997), 197–213 [in Russian]; English translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. **9** (1998), 1181–1194.
- [28] A. PUSHNITSKI, *The spectral shift function and the invariance principle*, J. Funct. Anal. **183** (2001), 269–320.
- [29] G. D. RAIKOV, *Eigenvalue asymptotics for the Schrödinger operator with homogeneous magnetic potential and decreasing electric potential. I. behavior near the essential spectrum tips*, Commun. P.D.E. **15** (1990), 407–434; Errata: Commun. P.D.E. **18** (1993), 1977–1979.
- [30] G.D.RAIKOV, *Spectral asymptotics for the perturbed 2D Pauli operator with oscillating magnetic fields. I. Non-zero mean value of the magnetic field*, Markov Process. Related Fields **9** (2003) 775–794.
- [31] G.D.RAIKOV, S.WARZEL, *Quasi-classical versus non-classical spectral asymptotics for magnetic Schrödinger operators with decreasing electric potentials*, Rev. Math. Phys. **14** (2002), 1051–1072.
- [32] D. ROBERT, *Semiclassical asymptotics for the spectral shift function*, In: Differential Operators and Spectral theory, AMS Translations Ser. 2 **189**, 187–203, AMS, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [33] D. R. YAFAEV, *Mathematical scattering theory. General theory*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **105** AMS, Providence, RI, 1992.